

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/866,967	GALLAGHER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(3) _____

(2) Tyler Stevenson.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 30 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior Art Rejection as stated in the prior Office action dated 11-17-03.

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior Art of Record


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amendments to claim 1 were suggested to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record. No agreement was reached.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)